



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,521	12/31/2003	Brian H. Sherman	MOL-001	1975
22832	7590	05/08/2008		
Kirkpatrick & Lockhart Preston Gates Ellis LLP (FORMERLY KIRKPATRICK & LOCKHART NICHOLSON GRAHAM) STATE STREET FINANCIAL CENTER One Lincoln Street BOSTON, MA 02111-2950			EXAMINER	KIM, EUNHEE
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2123	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		05/08/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/750,521	SHERMAN ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Eunhee Kim	2123

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Eunhee Kim.

(3) Michael Brodowski (REP).

(2) Karen Chan(REP).

(4) Brain Sherman, Karl Ruping, Keith Donaldson.

Date of Interview: 02 May 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: a short video at www.molysym.com.

Claim(s) discussed: 32.

Identification of prior art discussed: Shen.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Before the interview, the examiner viewed the brief description of invention that was described in a video at molysym.com. Also applicant briefly explained the present invention and technology and how the prior art is different from the present invention. It is further discussed that the possible claim for a node element and a bond element would be a system. It is agreed that the examiner would consider when the applicants amend.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Eunhee Kim/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2123  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

/plr/

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.